

ISSN: 1728-144X e-ISSN: 1735-9244



# International Journal of Engineering



Journal Homepage: www.ije.ir

# **TRANSACTIONS A: Basics**

Volume 34, Number 01, Jan 2021

Materials and Energy Research Center

### Publisher

Materials and Energy Research Center

**Director-in-Charge** Ali Reza Khavandi Acting Editor-in-Chief Ghasem Najafpour Darzi **Editorial Board** Samuel Bodunrin Olufemi Adeloju Maneesh Jain Mansoor Keyanpour-Rad Ali Reza Khavandi Amir-Hossein Mahmoudi Om Parkash Malik Ghasem Najafpour Darzi Fariborz Nateghi Elahi Sang-eun Oh Morteza Gholi Osanloo Mohammad Pazouki Jalil Agha Rashed Mohassel Sayed Khatiboleslam Sadrnezhaad Rashed Sahraeian Ali Shokuhfar Reza Tavakkoli-Moghaddam Tjoon-Tow Teng Louis J. Thibodeaux Patrick Liq Yee TiongXungai Wang

### **Advisory Board**

Shaaker Hajati Saeid Hesaraki Editor Saeid Kakooei



## International Journal of Engineering

Journal Homepage: www.ije.ir

## Epileptic Electroencephalogram Classification using Relative Wavelet Sub-Band Energy and Wavelet Entropy

#### S. Hadiyoso\*a, I. D. Irawatia, A. Rizalb

<sup>a</sup> School of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung, Indonesia
 <sup>b</sup> School of Electrical Engineering, Telkom University, Bandung, Indonesia

#### PAPER INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Paper history: Received 21 September 2020 Received in revised form 03 November 2020 Accepted 28 November 2020

Keywords: Epilepsy Electroencephalogram Entropy Wavelet Energy Epilepsy is one of the common neurological disorders which can cause unprovoked seizures. Currently, diagnosis and evaluation are carried out using electroencephalogram (EEG) signal analysis, which is performed visually by clinicians. Since EEG signals tend to be random and non-stationary, the visual inspection often provides misrepresentation of results. Numerous studies have been proposed computerbased analysis for epileptic EEG classification; however, there is still a gap to improve detection accuracy with a small number of features. Therefore, in this study, we proposed an automatic detection protocol for epileptic EEG classification. The proposed methods are relative wavelet energy and wavelet entropy for feature extraction and combined with the classifier method for automatic detection. In this study, three classes of EEG consisted of pre-ictal, ictal, and interictal were used as test data and also evaluate the proposed method. EEG signals were decomposed using wavelet transform into five conventional sub-bands, including gamma, beta, alpha, theta, and delta. The relative energy and entropy were then calculated in each of these bands as a feature set. These methods are chosen with consider of low-cost computing. We tested the performance of our feature extraction method using Support Vector Machine (SVM), both linear and non-linear kernels. From the simulation, the highest accuracy was 80-96.7% for ictal vs. pre-ictal, ictal vs. inter-ictal, pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal, and ictal vs. non-ictal. Finally, this work was expected to help clinicians in the detection of epilepsy onset based on EEG signals.

doi: 10.5829/ije.2021.34.01a.01

NOMENCLATURE				
Ψ	Basis wavelet	W	Normal vector length	
а	Scale	Т	Trade-off parameter	
b	Shift	$\mathcal{E}_i$	Set of slack variables	
t	Time	$a_i, b_i$	Training set	

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological disorders. Patients may suffer seizures due to abnormal or excessive of electrical brain activity [1]. Currently, neurologists conduct the diagnosis and evaluation of epilepsy patients based on analysis of EEG signals by visual inspection [2]. This process takes a long time and allows many error detections [3]. EEG signals show dynamic changes in nerve activity concerning seizures in the brain [4]. Nowadays, computer based-methods have

been developed to detect and analyze epilepsy based on EEG signal so that it is more effective and accurate.

EEG signals are processed to obtain features that can represent information characteristics to be classified [5, 6]. According to literature [7], EEG signal classification can be performed by processing the signals in the time domain, frequency domain, time-frequency domain, and many others using nonlinear techniques. Researchers developed a wavelet method for extracting features on EEG signals based on energy and entropy of the signal [8]. Faust et al. [4] stated that wavelet transforms produce

\*Corresponding Author Institutional Email: <u>sugondo@telkomuniversity.ac.id</u> (S. Hadiyoso)

Please cite this article as: S. Hadiyoso, I. D. Irawati, A. Rizal, Epileptic Electroencephalogram Classification using Relative Wavelet Sub-Band Energy and Wavelet Entropy, International Journal of Engineering, Transactions A: Basics Vol. 34, No. 01, (2021) 1184-1191

detailed information of EEG signal to detect and predict seizures. Wavelet transform has better representation than other signal processing methods. Wavelet energy describes energy information at different frequencies in the EEG signal that is adjusted to the needs of the analysis [9]. Entropy shows an index that illustrates brain wave disorder. Wavelet entropy can analyze EEG signals with very dynamic features [10]. Daubechies discrete wavelet transform and wavelet harmonic used to characterize and analyze epileptiform [11]. Wavelet analysis of EEG signals may produced accurate features to analyze different brain rhythm, even on a low scale. The other study by Lee et al. [12] combined wavelet transforms, phase-space reconstruction, and Euclidean distance to classify the normal EEG and epileptic seizures on the EEG signal. This method produced 24 features, and a minimum of four features with the highest accuracy was selected for classification using fuzzy logic. Guo et al. [9] explored relative wavelet energy for representing the EEG signal and classifying it using artificial neural networks. In our previous work, we examined the classification of seizure patterns on the EEG signal using SVM. We divided four classifications scenarios, which are three based on seizure and normal conditions from feature extractions combination consisting of Hjorth Descriptor, Independent Component Analysis (ICA), and Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) [13].

Based on previous research, we proposed a new method for seizure detection in epilepsy patients based on the relative wavelet energy and wavelet entropy from the EEG signal. In this study, a combination of wavelet methods for feature extraction and SVM for classification were conducted. Wavelet transform segmented the EEG signals into five bands consisting of gamma, beta, alpha, theta, and delta. Relative wavelet energy and entropy are then calculated for these bands as feature sets. Finally, we evaluate the performance of the proposed feature extraction method using support vector machine.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 illustrates the EEG dataset collection and methods that support the findings of this study. The performance evaluation and discussion are shown in section 3. The conclusion and future works are drawn in section 4.

#### 2. MATERIAL and METHOD

**2. 1. EEG Dataset** In this study, the epilepsy EEG dataset, which was used for simulations, was taken from the Hauz Khas Neurology and Sleep Center, India. It is available on the https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308719109\_E EG\_Epilepsy\_Datasets. The EEG was taken from 10 epilepsy patients in the department and recorded using Grass Telefactor Comet AS40 with 200 Hz sampling

frequency. The 10-20 system placement standard was applied to 16 scalp electrodes. The EEG signal was preprocessed with a band-pass filter (0.5 Hz and 70 Hz) to reject large amounts of noise.

Furthermore, the EEG dataset was segmented into pre-ictal, ictal, and inter-ictal. Each stage contained 50 segments of the EEG signal with a duration of 5.12 seconds. Our proposed method was tested in several classification schemes included: ictal vs. pre-ictal, ictal vs. inter-ictal, pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal, and ictal vs. nonictal.

**2. 2. Proposed Method** Figure 1 presents a proposed method for epileptic EEG classification. First, the EEG signal which consists of ictal, pre-ictal, and inter-ictal is segmented into delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma bands using Wavelet transform. The relative energy and entropy are then measured for each band. Finally, a performance evaluation was carried out using a support vector machine with various kernels. The following sub-sections describe the details of the proposed method.

2. 2. 1 Band Segmentation Using Wavelet Transform Wavelet transform (WT), or then called wavelet decomposition, is generally a frequency decomposition of sub-band signals where the components are produced by decreasing the hierarchical decomposition. Wavelet-based transformation methods has been widely used over the past decades [14]. Implementation of wavelet transform can be done by passing the high-frequency signal or high pass filter and low frequency or lowpass filter [15, 16]. This method is suitable for representing EEG signals that have characteristics, high frequency in a short period, and low frequency in a long period. Wavelet extracts features that can be used for analyzing the diverse transient case in the signal, as in the EEG signal. Wavelet transform has been commonly used for EEG analysis, as reported in studies [17-19], where WT produces high performance in signal characterization.

In the family of wavelet, the mother wavelet is the set of basis functions which is expressed in Equation (1) below.

$$\Psi_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \Psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\Psi$  is basis or mother wavelet,  $a, b \in \Re, a \neq 0$  is the scale parameter, and *b* is the shift parameter, while *t* is the time.

In its function for signal segmentation or decomposition, WT is often used as a filter bank (consists of low pass and high pass filter) [20]. In this research, we use wavelet decomposition to obtain the delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma bands. Since the sampling frequency is 200 Hz, 5-level decomposition was applied to obtain these bands with Daubechies-2 (DB2) as the



Figure 1. Proposed method for epileptic EEG classification

basis wavelet function. Sub-band D2 correspondence with gamma, D3 correspondence with beta, D4 correspondence with alpha, D5 correspondence with theta, and A5 correspondence with delta. The relative energy in each band is then measured as a function of normalization with the total energy of all bands. Shannon entropy is also calculated on all bands as a representation of the randomness or uncertainty of the signal. The scheme of the WT method can be seen in Figure 2.

2. 2. 2 Classification with Support Vector Machine

**(SVM)** Support vector machine (SVM) is one method that is commonly used for classification problems in biomedical signal processing [21-24]. SVM is a supervised learning method. Initially, SVM was used to classify two groups and then developed to solve multiclass classification problems. In addition, SVM is also able to overcome the problem of linear and non-linear classification. SVM is used to find optimal hyperplane functions by maximizing the distance between classes [25]. Hyperplane can be determined by calculating the hyperplane's margin and measuring its maximum point. The closest pattern is called a support vector. The hyperplane in SVM is illustrated, as shown in Figure 3.

In this study, linear SVM and non-linear SVM are used to validate the proposed method. The function of linear SVM is expressed in Equation (2).

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|\vec{w}\|^2 + T \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i \tag{2}$$

Where *w* is normal vector length, T is the trade-off parameter between training set errors and class separation. Whereas  $\varepsilon_i$  is the set of slack variables. The aim of this function is to find the minimum distance between two hyperplanes  $(2\|\vec{w}\|)$  by minimizing  $\|\vec{w}\|$ .

Since the boundary line have variations by applying other kernels, therefore non-linear SVM kernels including quadratic and cubic SVM also simulated to find the best performance in classification. This function is obtained by Equation (3).

$$k(a_i, b_i) = (a_i, b_i + 1)^d$$
(3)

where  $(a_i, b_i)$  is training set, meanwhile for quadratic function the d = 2, and for cubic function, the d = 3.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 4 shows the results of the Wavelet transform which generates the five conventional EEG bands,



Figure 2. Wavelet decomposition and correspondence with the EEG sub-band



Figure 3. Hyperplane as a separator between classes [26]

including delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma. Then the relative energy and entropy are measured for each band. The average values of the relative energy and entropy of each EEG stage are shown in Figures 5 and 6. The relative energy in the delta and theta bands in the ictal stage tends to be higher compared to the non-ictal stage. This indicates a slowing of the EEG wave in the ictal stage. Pre-ictal and inter-ictal stages show that the relative energy in the alpha, beta, and gamma bands is higher than the ictal stage. Meanwhile, the entropy value in the ictal stage is the lowest in all EEG bands compared to the non-ictal stage.

Since entropy is related to the degree of complexity of the dynamic system, the ictal stage has the lowest signal complexity compared with other conditions. These results were confirmed by Weng et al. [27], that the ictal EEG decreased the complexity of the signal with the entropy value of the pre-ictal stage higher than the ictal stage. The parameters which are measured in this study provide discriminant features between groups of epileptic EEG signals. We also confirmed by conducting a significance test using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). In this study, features with statistically significant differences if they have a p-value <0.05 and if it generates a p-value <0.01, it has a higher degree of significant difference. The results of the significance tests for each classification problem are presented in Table 1. From these results, we highlight that in all ictal vs. nonictal stage scenarios, there are differences with high significance (p <0.01), which is almost generated by all features. Meanwhile, in the case of inter-ictal vs. preictal, there were six features that did not have high significance, two of which had p < 0.05. This indicates that these two stages have several similar signal properties, which may be more difficult to classify. Next is the performance validation of the proposed method using SVM. In this study, the number of features which is used as a predictor is 10 features from the measurement of the relative energy and entropy of each EEG band.



Figure 4. The results of decomposition using wavelet transform in the ictal stage



**Figure 5.** The average of the relative energy of the ictal, inter-ictal, and pre-ictal stages



Figure 6. The average of entropy of the ictal, inter-ictal, and pre-ictal stage

TADIT 1	1	c	1	1 .	C* .*	•
TABLE L	<b>n-value</b>	tor	each	Class1	fication	scenarios
	p i anae			••••••	neuron	000000000

Feature	Ictal vs inter-ictal	Ictal vs pre- ictal	Inter-ictal vs pre-ictal	Ictal vs Inter-ictal vs pre- ictal
RGP	5.15E-09**	6.97E-03**	3.89E-03**	1.65E-07**
RBP	9.00E-18**	3.09E-05**	1.66E-03**	3.55E-13**
RAP	1.05E-05**	2.45E-02*	O.0971	2.76E-04**
RTP	1.14E-23**	4.95E-12**	0.0649	3.70E-21**
RDP	0.0812	0.117	1.08E-02*	1.37E-02*
EG	1.42E-26**	2.02E-24**	1.43E-04**	5.87E-31**
EB	2.88E-18**	2.38E-17**	6.35E-03**	4.89E-20**
EA	4.02E-18**	1.57E-16**	2.93E-02*	7.81E-20**
ET	2.88E-19**	2.33E-14**	0.435	4.80E-18**
ED	1.18E-14**	5.20E-11**	0.358	3.81E-14**

RGP = Relative gamma power; RBP = Relative beta power; RAP = Relative alpha power; RTP = Relative theta power; RDP = Relative delta power; EG = Entropy gamma; EB = Entropy beta; EA = Entropy alpha; ET = Entropy theta; ED = Entropy delta

\* p-value < 0.05

\*\* p-value < 0.01

Since SVM is a supervised learning method, a crossvalidation algorithm is needed to split the training and test data. The use of this algorithm also aims to avoid overfitting. 10-fold cross-validation with SVM is used to evaluate the performance of the proposed method in the four classification problems, as explained in the subsection above. The test results for each scenario are presented in Table 2. In the case of classification between ictal and pre-ictal the highest accuracy is 95%, with sensitivity and specificity of 94 and 96%, respectively. For ictal vs. inter-ictal cases, the highest accuracy, which is achieved, is 96%. In this case, 100% specificity was obtained, which means that the system is able to detect all inter-ictal stages without miss classification. Meanwhile, in the ictal vs non-ictal (pre-ictal and interictal) stage classification, the proposed method generates an accuracy of 96.7 with 99% specificity in detecting non-ictal onset. This scenario shows that the proposed method is able to detect ictal and non-ictal onset with high accuracy and has a consistent performance when applied to ictal vs. pre-ictal or ictal vs. inter-ictal classification problems. The simulation results in this scenario are expected to be used to predict the onset of seizures. In the pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal classification problem, the system is able to produce the highest accuracy of 80% and a sensitivity of 90%. This result is quite good, considering both of the onsets have similar characteristics. Where the two conditions are the onset before the occurrence of seizures at different intervals, from the simulations conducted using different SVM kernels, it can be concluded that the quadratic kernel has the best performance, providing the highest accuracy for the three test scenarios.

The evaluation of the proposed method was also carried out by comparing it with previous studies that used the same dataset. In the ictal vs. pre-ictal scenario,

**TABLE 2.** The classification results for each scenario

Scenario	SVM	Accuracy (%)	Sensitivity	Specificity
	Linear	94	92	96
Ictal vs Pre-ictal	Quadratic	95	92	96
	Qubic	95	94	96
Ictal vs	Linear	94	88	100
Inter-	Quadratic	95	90	100
ictal	Qubic	96	92	100
Dre ictal	Linear	78	88	68
vs Inter-	Quadratic	80	90	70
ictal	Qubic	76	86	66
	Linear	96.7	92	99
Ictal vs Non-ictal	Quadratic	96.7	92	99
	Qubic	95.3	90	98

Study by	Method	Class	Acc. (%)
	minimally mean squared frequency	ictal vs. pre- ictal	90
Sharma, et. al [28]	localized (MMSFL)- optimal	pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal	NA
	orthogonal wavelet filter bank (OWFB)	inter-ictal vs. ictal	100
	Discrete cosine	ictal vs. pre- ictal	79.7
Gupta, et. al [29]	transform (DCT), Hurst Exponent	pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal	74.6
		inter-ictal vs. ictal	96.5
	Relative	ictal vs. pre- ictal	95
Proposed study	Wavelet Energy (RWE) and Wavelet	pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal	80
	Entropy	inter-ictal vs. ictal	96

**TABLE 3.** The system performance comparison

the proposed method outperforms the study by Sharma et al. [28] and Gupta et al. [29] where the accuracy was 90 and 79.7%, respectively. In the pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal scenario, the proposed method also outperforms the study by Gupta et al. [29], yielding an accuracy of 74.6%. This is a good result and should notably since the two stages have similar characteristics. Meanwhile, the ictal vs. inter-ictal scenario has lower performance than the study by Sharma et al. [28] and Gupta et al. [29]; however, the gap is relatively low. A brief summary of the comparisons with previous studies is presented in Table 3.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a method for epileptic EEG detection using relative wavelet energy and wavelet entropy. Wavelet transform was used to generate conventional EEG bands consisting of the delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma. Then relative energy and entropy were measured as a feature set. From the measurement of relative power, it was known that the delta and theta band in the ictal stage was higher than in the non-ictal stage. Entropy measurements showed that the value of entropy in ictal tended to be lower than the non-ictal stage. The entropy value in pre-ictal was highest compared to other stages. This measurement was considered to be able to provide discriminant features between epileptic EEG groups. Therefore, performance evaluations were performed with SVM and cross-validation to the feature vectors, which were generated by the proposed method. Performance evaluation was done in the four classification problems, including ictal vs. pre-ictal, ictal vs. inter-ictal, pre-ictal vs. inter-ictal, and ictal vs. non-ictal. Each scenario generates the highest accuracy of 95%, 96%, 80%, and 96.7%, respectively. In ictal vs. pre-ictal and inter-ictal vs. pre-ictal scenario, the proposed method outperformed previous studies. We notably highlighted the cases of ictal vs. non-ictal, where the proposed method produced high accuracy. It means that the proposed method was expected to be used for the prediction of onset seizures.

In future works, the results of this study will be simulated to a larger EEG epilepsy dataset. Moreover, various feature extraction and classification methods will be explored so that it becomes an opportunity to solve the more complicated case of EEG signal classification. Other classification parameters are also meaningful so that research is more challenging to be addressed.

#### **5. REFERENCES**

- Krook-Magnuson, E. and Soltesz, I. "Beyond the hammer and the scalpel: Selective circuit control for the epilepsies." *Nature Neuroscience*, Vol. 18, No. 3, (2015), 331-338. doi: 10.1038/nn.3943
- Malmivuo, J. and Plonsey, R., Bioelectromagnetism Principles and Applications of Bioelectric and Biomagnetic Fields. New York: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- Acharya, U. R., Hagiwara, Y., Deshpande, S. N., Suren, S., Koh, J. E. W., Oh, S. L., ... Lim, C. M. "Characterization of focal EEG signals: A review." *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Vol. 91, (2019), 290-299. doi: 10.1016/j.future.2018.08.044
- Faust, O., Acharya, U. R., Adeli, H., and Adeli, A. "Waveletbased EEG processing for computer-aided seizure detection and epilepsy diagnosis." *Seizure*, Vol. 26, (2015), 56-64. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2015.01.012
- Khanmohammadi, S. and Chou, C. A. "Adaptive Seizure Onset Detection Framework Using a Hybrid PCA-CSP Approach." *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, Vol. 22, No. 1, (2018), 154-160. doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2017.2703873.
- Carney, P. R., Myers, S. and Geyer, J. D. "Seizure prediction: Methods." *Epilepsy and Behavior*, Vol. 22(SUPPL. 1), (2011), S94-S101. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2011.09.001.
- Altunay, S., Telatar, Z. and Erogul, O. "Epileptic EEG detection using the linear prediction error energy." *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 37, No. 8, (2010), 5661-5665. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2010.02.045.
- Sugianela, Y., Sutino, Q. L. and Herumurti, D. "Eeg Classification for Epilepsy Based on Wavelet Packet Decomposition and Random Forest." *Jurnal Ilmu Komputer dan Informasi*, Vol. 11 No. 1, (2018), 27-33. doi: 10.21609/jiki.v11i1.549.
- Guo, L., Rivero, D., Seoane, J. A., and Pazos, A. "Classification of EEG signals using relative wavelet energy and artificial neural networks", in 2009 World Summit on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation, 2009 GEC Summit - Proceedings of the 1st ACM/SIGEVO Summit on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation, GEC '09, (2009). doi: 10.1145/1543834.1543860.
- Sik, H. H., Gao, J., Fan, J., Wu, B. W. Y., Leung, H. K., and Hung, Y. S. "Using wavelet entropy to demonstrate how mindfulness

practice increases coordination between irregular cerebral and cardiac activities." *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, Vol. 123, (2017), 1-10. doi: 10.3791/55455.

- Adeli, H., Zhou, Z. and Dadmehr, N. "Analysis of EEG records in an epileptic patient using wavelet transform." *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, Vol. 123, No. 1, (2003), 69-87. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0270(02)00340-0.
- Lee, S. H., Lim, J. S., Kim, J. K., Yang, J., and Lee, Y. "Classification of normal and epileptic seizure EEG signals using wavelet transform, phase-space reconstruction, and Euclidean distance" *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, Vol. 116, No. 1, (2014), 10-25. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2014.04.012.
- Dwi Saputro, I. R., Maryati, N. D., Solihati, S. R., Wijayanto, I., Hadiyoso, S., and Patmasari, R. "Seizure Type Classification on EEG Signal using Support Vector Machine." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 1201, No. 1, (2019). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1201/1/012065.
- Fereydouni, A. R., Charmin, A., Vahdati, H., and Aghdam, H. N. "Channel Estimation and Carrier Frequency Offset Compensation in Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing System Using Adaptive Filters in Wavelet Transform Domain." *International Journal of Engineering, Transactions A: Basics*, Vol. 33, No. 7, (2020), 1231-1239. doi: 10.5829/ije.2020.33.07a.09.
- Khoshnood, A. M., Khaksari, H., Roshanian, J., & Hasani, S. M. "Active noise cancellation using online wavelet based control system: Numerical and experimental study." *International Journal of Engineering, Transactions A: Basics*, Vol. 30, No. 1, (2017), 120-126. doi: 10.5829/idosi.ije.2017.30.01a.15.
- 16. Kehtarnavaz, N. Digital Signal Processing System Design. Academic Press, 2008.
- Gandhi, T., Panigrahi, B. K. and Anand, S. "A comparative study of wavelet families for EEG signal classification"*Neurocomputing*, Vol. 74, No. 17, (2011), 3051-3057. doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2011.04.029.
- Swami, P., Gandhi, T. K., Panigrahi, B. K., Tripathi, M., and Anand, S. "A novel robust diagnostic model to detect seizures in electroencephalography." *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 56, (2016), 116-130. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2016.02.040.
- Swami, P., Gandhi, T. K., Panigrahi, B. K., Bhatia, M., Santhosh, J., and Anand, S. "A comparative account of modelling seizure detection system using wavelet techniques." *International Journal of Systems Science: Operations and Logistics*, Vol. 4, No. 1, (2017), 41-52. doi: 10.1080/23302674.2015.1116637.
- Irawati, I. D., Hadiyoso, S. and Hariyani, Y. S. "Multi-wavelet level comparison on compressive sensing for MRI image reconstruction." *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, Vol. 9, No. 4, (2020), 1461-1467. doi: 10.11591/eei.v9i4.2347.
- Awad, M. and Khanna, R. Support Vector Machines for Classification. Efficient Learning Machines, Berkeley, Apress, Berkeley: CA,2015
- Hadiyoso, S., Wijayanto, I., Rizal, A., and Aulia, S. "Biometric systems based on ECG using ensemble empirical mode decomposition and variational mode decomposition." *Journal of Applied Engineering Science*, Vol. 18, No. 2, (2020) 181-191. doi: 10.5937/jaes18-26041.
- Martisius, I., Damasevicius, R., Jusas, V., and Birvinskas, D. "Using higher order nonlinear operators for SVM classification of EEG data." *Elektronika Ir Elektrotechnika*, Vol. 3, No. 3, (2012), 99-102. doi: 10.5755/j01.eee.119.3.1373.
- Wijayanto, I., Rizal, A. and Hadiyoso, S. "Multilevel Wavelet Packet Entropy and Support Vector Machine for Epileptic EEG Classification." 2018 4th International Conference on Science and Technology (ICST). Yogyakarta, (2018).
- 25. Zamanian, H. and Farsi, H. "A new feature extraction method to

improve emotion detection using EEG signals." *Electronic Letters on Computer Vision and Image Analysis*, Vol. 17, No. 1, (2018), 9-44. doi: 10.5565/rev/elcvia.1045.

- Cortes, C. and Vapnik, V. Support-Vector Networks in Machine Learning, Boston: Kluwer Academic, 1995.
- Weng, W. C., Jiang, G. J. A., Chang, C. F., Lu, W. Y., Lin, C. Y., Lee, W. T., and Shieh, J. S. "Complexity of multi-channel electroencephalogram signal analysis in childhood absence epilepsy." *PLoS ONE*, Vol. 10, No. 8, (2015), 1-14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134083.
- Sharma, M., Bhurane, A. A. and Rajendra Acharya, U. "MMSFL-OWFB: A novel class of orthogonal wavelet filters for epileptic seizure detection." *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Vol. 160, (2018), 265-277. doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2018.07.019.
- Gupta, A., Singh, P. and Karlekar, M. "A novel signal modeling approach for classification of seizure and seizure-free EEG signals." *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, Vol. 26, No. 5, (2018), 925-935. doi: 10.1109/TNSRE.2018.2818123.

#### Persian Abstract

چکیدہ

صرع یکی از اختلالات عصبی رایج است که می تواند باعث تشنج بدون برانگیختگی شود. در حال حاضر ، تشخیص و ارزیابی با استفاده از آنالیز سیگنال الکتروانسفالوگرام (EEG)انجام می شود که توسط پزشکان بصری انجام می شود. از آنجا که سیگنالهای EEG تصادفی و غیر ثابت هستند ، بازرسی بصری اغلب بیان نادرست نتایج است. مطالعات متعددی تجزیه و تحلیل مبتنی بر رایانه برای طبقه بندی EEG صرعی پیشنهاد شده است. با این حال ، هنوز فاصله ای برای بهبود دقت تشخیص با تعداد کمی از ویژگی ها وجود دارد. بنابراین ، در این مطالعه ، ما یک پروتکل تشخیص خودکار برای طبقه بندی EEG صرعی پیشنهاد کردیم. روش های پیشنهادی انرژی موجک نسبی و آنتروپی موجک برای استخراج ویژگی و ترکیب شده با روش طبقه بندی برای تشخیص خودکار است. در این مطالعه ، سه کلاس EEG شامل یش اکتال ، انداز و آنتروپی موجک برای استخراج ویژگی و ترکیب شده با روش طبقه بندی برای تشخیص خودکار است. در این مطالعه ، سه کلاس EEG شامل پیش اکتال ، انداز معمولی موجک برای استخراج ویژگی و ترکیب شده با روش طبقه بندی برای تشخیص خودکار است. در این مطالعه ، سه کلاس EEG شامل پیش اکتال ، انداز معمولی موجک برای استخراج ویژگی و ترکیب شده با روش طبقه بندی برای تشخیص خودکار است. در این مطالعه ، سه کلاس EEG شامل پیش اکتال ، انداز معمولی موجک برای استخراج ویژگی و ترکیب شده با روش طبقه بندی برای تشخیص خودکار است. در این مطالعه ، سه کلاس وجک به پنج زیر گروه معمولی ، از جمله گاما ، بتا ، آلفا ، تنا و دلتا تجزیه شدند. سپس انرژی نسبی و آنتروپی در هر یک از این باند ها به عنوان یک مجموعه ویژگی محاسبه شد. این روش ها با در نظر گرفتن محاسبات کم هزینه انتخاب می شوند. ما عملکرد روش استخراج ویژگی خود را با استفاده از هسته های خطی و غیر خطی با استفاده از ماشین بردار (SVM) ازمایش کردیم. از طریق شبیه سازی ، بالاترین دقت ۸۰–۹۶. برای ادمان در مقابل اعتاد مقابل های خطی و غیر خطی با استفاده از ماشین بردار پشتیبانی (CM) ازمایش کردیم. از طریق شبیه سازی ، بالاترین دقت ۸۰–۹۶. برای ایمان مور عصر عر را ساس سیگنال های و عور بولی اینظار می رفتا از و مانین بردار و مانبا ، و دمابل غیر ایماندا می رفت که بردن کره به پزشکان در تشخیص شروع صرع بر اساس سیگنال های و و می خطی با نظار